



Gosport Partnership Board Meeting 10 March 2010

Item 5.1 Briefing Paper – Review of Performance Indicators for Strategic Priorities

STRATEGIC PRIORITIES

- 1 The LSP Board currently has three strategic priorities:
 - Reduce traffic congestion
 - Increase employment opportunities
 - Health and wellbeing – teenage pregnancy; child obesity

CURRENT INDICATORS IN GOSPORT'S SUSTAINABILITY PROFILE

- 2 The performance indicators in Table 1 below from Gosport's Sustainability Profile are currently used to track the LSP Board's strategic priorities.

The Board last reviewed these indicators in September 2009. The indicators have been updated using new data where possible and this is shown in the 'last updated' column.

Indicator	Current data	Direction of travel	Performance standard		Page ref	Last updated
			Hampshire	National		
Perceptions of transport in Gosport	Top issue residents	↔	No comparisons		13	July 09
Main mode of travel to work	52.4 % car	↔	😊	😊	13	July 09
Traffic flow on major roads	+3.2%	↔	No comparisons		14	July 09
Commuting flows - out	41%	↔		😞	15	July 09
Distance travelled to work	11.7km	↔	😊	😊	16	July 09
Congestion (National Indicator 167 – average travel to work time)	22 mins	New indicator	😞	😞	16	July 09
Journeys per square kilometre	1070	↔	😞	😊	17	July 09
Cycle rates in Gosport		↔	No quartile comparisons		20	July 09
Broom Way	+23.4%					
Haslar Road	-4.9%					
Rowner Road	+3.6%					

Indicator	Current data	Direction of travel	Performance standard		Page ref	Last updated
			Hampshire	National		
South Street (East and Waterfront)	+10%					
Walpole Road (East and Westbound)	+9%					
Gosport Ferry usage	3.6 million	↔	No comparisons		20	July 09
% of people very or fairly satisfied with local transport information	40.3%	New indicator		☹	24	July 09
% of people very or fairly satisfied with local bus services	45.3%	New indicator		☹	24	July 09
Post -16 provision – full-time education and training retention rate	75%	↔	No quartile comparisons		56	
% of Year 11 leavers destined to be NEET (not in education, employment or training)	3.92%	New indicator	No quartile comparisons		57	July 09
Achievement of 5 or more A*-C grades at GCSE incl. English and Maths (NI 75)	55.1%	↑	No quartile comparisons		57	July 09
Working age population qualified to at least Level 2 (NI 163)	72.2%	New indicator	☹	☹	59	July 09
Working age population qualified to at least Level 3 (NI 164)	45.4%	New indicator	☹	☹	59	July 09
Working age population qualified to at least Level 4 (NI 165)	28.1%	New indicator	No quartile comparisons		59	July 09
Take-up of 14-19 learning diplomas (NI 90)	929	↔	No comparisons		59	July 09
Proportion of adults with poor literacy and numeracy	25.1% poor literacy, 25.7% poor numeracy	↔	☹	☹	59	July 09
Adult Participation in taught learning	27.6%	↑	☹	☹	60	July 09

Indicator	Current data	Direction of travel	Performance standard		Page ref	Last updated
			Hampshire	National		
Overall employment rate (NI 151)	81.1%	New indicator	☹️	☺️	63	July 09
Economic activity rate	88% active	↑	☺️	☺️	63	Feb 2010
Working age people on out of work benefits (NI 152)	9%	↑	☹️	☹️	64	Feb 2010
Unemployment rates	3%	↑	☹️	☹️	65	Feb 2010
Jobcentre Plus vacancies- no of JSA claimants per unfilled jobcentre vacancy	9	↑	☹️	☹️	66	Feb 2010
Employment deprivation	0.07	↔️	☹️	☹️	66	July 09
Job Density	0.52	↓	☹️	☹️	69	July 09
Workplace self-containment - % jobs filled by local residents	71%	↔️	☺️		70	July 09
Average earnings of employees in the area (NI 166)	£10.75 per hour	↓	☹️	☹️	70	July 09
Under 18 conception rates (per 1000)	52.5	↓	☹️	☹️	44	July 09
Overweight school-entry age children	28.5%	↔️	☹️	☹️	45	July 09
Obese children	11%	↔️	☹️	☹️	45	July 09

Table 1 Strategic Priorities Performance Indicators in Gosport's Sustainability Profile

- 3 Many of the data sources within Gosport's Sustainability Profile are updated at the most on an annual basis. This makes it difficult for the Board to track progress against strategic priorities on a more frequent basis.

FUTURE MONITORING

- 4 As well as using the performance indicators within Gosport's Sustainability Profile, the LSP needs to develop more local indicators to allow more regular monitoring of its strategic priorities.
- 5 Table 2 below shows a list of proposed local performance indicators.

STRATEGIC PRIORITY	INDICATOR	SOURCE	FREQUENCY
Reduce traffic congestion	Number of hits to www.travelgosport.co.uk	LSP Coordinator	Monthly
	Congestion on A32 – number of cars at peak periods	Andy Maclean – Hampshire County Council	Six-monthly
	Gosport Ferry passenger numbers	Paul Fuller – Gosport Ferry	Six-monthly
	Bus passenger numbers	Dervla McHugh – First Bus	Six-monthly
	CO2 reduction within greening campaign communities	Greening Campaign – Terena Plowright	Annually
Increase employment opportunities	Jobcentre Plus vacancies – JSA claimant per unfilled job centre vacancy	Local Knowledge – NOMIS/Lynda Dine	Monthly
	Employment rate by gender	NOMIS/Lynda Dine	Annually
	% in self-employment	NOMIS/Lynda Dine	Annually
	Local indicators to be determined relating to supply and demand and skills provision	Lynda Dine	TBC
	NI 112 Under 18 conception rates	Gosport Health Profile/Hampshire Teenage Pregnancy Partnership	Annually
Health and wellbeing – teenage pregnancy and child obesity	LA Schools with significantly greater obesity rates than comparator	AHPO/DoH	Annually
	Uptake of Change4Life programme	National obesity observatory NCMP school level feedback tool/Jill Corbett	Annually
	Uptake of school meals	Jill Corbett	Six-monthly
	Uptake of Healthy Schools Programme	Jill Corbett	Six-monthly
	Uptake of MEND programme	Jill Corbett	Six-monthly
	Breastfeeding up to 6 months	Jill Corbett	Six-monthly
	Uptake of cook and eat programme	Jill Corbett	Six-monthly
	Ward level data on teenage pregnancy	Jayne Shelbourn-Barrow	Annually

Table 2 – proposed additional performance indicators

RECOMMENDATION

- 6 That the Board approves the proposed indicators in Table 2 and the data collection thereof from 1 April 2010.
- 7 The Board ensures staff in their organisations are aware of the necessity to provide this indicator data to the LSP in an appropriate and timely manner.

- 8 That the Board considers any additional performance indicators and informs the LSP Coordinator if their organisation could provide data.